

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,612	11/09/2001	Michael Williams	6941.01	4221	
25763	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY LLP	CHOI, PETER H			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623		

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/007,612	WILLIAMS, MICHAEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter Choi	3623	

	Peter Choi	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forti		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oright than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	walling the time period set forth in	07 01 1(41.07(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		M-	
		TARIO R. HAFIZ	AAINED
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EX	₹₽48 %ED

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER COLD

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's argument that Vehicle Fleet Management Plan teaches away from the claimed invention is deemed to be unpersuasive. The claimed invention does not preclude the use of standardized forms or procedures, but merely calls for customized consultative proposals. Furthermore, although the process, forms and logs used by the Office of Vehicle Fleet Management in the Texas State Vehicle Fleet Management Plan are standardized, the ensuing reports and proposals are customized as they are unique to each implementation of the Vehicle Fleet Management Plan. Every implementation of the Vehicle Fleet Management Plan yields a different set of information (response to questions, calculated cost savings, tax jurisdiction, information about the existing fleet and vehicle acquisition, funding, disposal, purchasing, fuel expenditure, and maintenance policies) and results in the formulation of a customized consultative proposal in response to the unique set of information gathered.